



California ISO

# Transmission Capability Estimates for use in the CPUC's Resource Planning Process

---

White Paper

Version 2023-R1

June 28, 2023

Transmission Infrastructure Planning

## Table of Contents

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Changes from previous transmission capability estimate .....	4
3	Updated transmission capability estimates .....	5
3.1	Sources of transmission capability information .....	5
3.2	Elements of transmission capability estimate information.....	6
4	Implementation of transmission capability estimates in IRP .....	10
4.1	Representation of constraints as linear expressions .....	11
4.2	Baseline reconciliation .....	12
	Attachment A: Transmission Capability Estimates .....	13
	Attachment B: Constraint Boundary Definitions .....	14

## 1 Introduction

As part of its Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) process, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) develops resource plans to meet the state's renewable policy targets and resource adequacy requirements. The CPUC currently uses the RESOLVE resource optimization model for developing resource portfolios. RESOLVE co-optimizes investment and dispatch in order to identify least-cost resource portfolios that meet the policy and reliability targets. The portfolios are comprised of resources with Full Capacity Deliverability Status (FCDS), which count towards resource adequacy needs, and resources with Energy-Only Deliverability Status (EODS), which contribute to meeting renewable energy targets but do not count towards resource adequacy. The CPUC, in collaboration with the California Energy Commission (CEC) and the ISO, maps the geographically coarse RESOLVE resource selections to substations using a documented bus bar mapping process.

One of the key inputs to the resource optimization model and the bus bar mapping process is transmission capability information supplied by the ISO. For this purpose, the ISO develops FCDS and EODS transmission capability estimates that limit the amount and deliverability status of candidate resources that can be selected or mapped in transmission-constrained areas. The information includes previously identified conceptual transmission upgrades along with an estimate of the associated incremental increase in transmission capability.

The ISO uses the resource portfolios developed by the CPUC in its annual Transmission Planning Process (TPP). The CPUC typically transmits to the ISO a base portfolio and one or more sensitivity portfolios. The ISO utilizes the base portfolio in its reliability, policy-driven and economic assessments to identify the need for transmission development. The sensitivity portfolios are mainly used in policy-driven assessment for informational purposes.

The purpose of this white paper is to provide updated transmission capability estimate information for use by the CPUC in developing future resource portfolios based on the latest available information. The paper describes the information, methodology and the primary sources of information that are used to produce it and how the information may be implemented by the CPUC in its resource planning process. This white paper and accompanying documentation replaces the version the ISO released in 2021.<sup>1</sup>

The 2023 transmission capability estimate package is comprised of:

- This white paper
- An Excel worksheet containing the transmission capability information described herein
- A PowerPoint file containing diagrams showing the substations or buses that are behind each constraint (5% DFAX boundary). In addition, substation bus lists are provided for each constraint

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.caiso.com/Pages/documentsbygroup.aspx?GroupID=79BEBAD0-E696-4E04-A958-1AAF53A12248>

in PG&E area because the diagrams may not show all relevant buses behind the constraint due to the complexity of the PG&E system<sup>2</sup>.

As the name suggests, transmission capability estimates are just estimates. They are developed primarily based on the location, mix and size of resources in the ISO generation interconnection queue and certain other assumptions described in this white paper. The accuracy of these estimates will be impacted depending, among other things, on the deviation of the resource portfolios selected from the commercial interest that these estimates are primarily based on. The final determination of the transmission upgrades needed by the resource portfolios is made during the policy-driven assessment the ISO conducts as part of the TPP.

## 2 Changes from previous transmission capability estimate

The previous (2021) version of the transmission capability estimates information was used by the CPUC to develop resource portfolios for the 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 TPP cycles. The 2021 estimate was developed primarily based on Interconnection Queue Cluster 13 Phase I study. Existing resources and in-development resources the CPUC transmitted as part of its resource portfolios for use in the ISO 2020-2021 TPP were considered as baseline resources. This means the capability values for the existing system including approved transmission projects known at the commencement of the study or transmission plan capability were over and above those baseline resource amounts. Cost estimates for delivery network upgrades were escalated to the year of commercial operation.

The current estimate is based primarily on Cluster 14 Phase I study. Only resources that were operational before January 1, 2022 were considered as the baseline. As such, the transmission plan capability values are over and above the transmission headroom taken up by those resources. Approved transmission projects up to and including the 2020-2021 TPP were modeled in the study. Due to the timing of the commencement of the Cluster 14, Phase I studies, projects approved in the 2021-2022 TPP were not modeled in the initial cases but were considered as mitigation as appropriate. Costs estimates for delivery network upgrades are provided in 2022 dollars.

As in the previous version, both the FCDS and EODS estimates are expressed based on the resource-specific output assumptions used in deliverability studies, which makes the resulting capability values resource neutral.

---

<sup>2</sup> The boundary diagrams for PG&E area constraints may also include buses without generation, which are generally not included in the substation list included.

### 3 Updated transmission capability estimates

The updated transmission capability estimate information is provided in Attachment A. At a high level, the transmission capability estimate information provided includes to the extent possible:

- Estimates of the capability of the existing and approved transmission to accommodate resources with full capacity deliverability status (FCDS) and energy only deliverability status (EODS) that covers all areas where there is commercial interest even if deliverability constraints are not identified<sup>3</sup>,
- Previously identified conceptual transmission upgrades that increase transmission capability along with cost estimates,
- The incremental FCDS and EODS capability provided by the conceptual transmission upgrades,
- Constraint boundary diagrams and/or substation bus lists showing BES substation buses inside each constraint zone (provided as Attachment B), and
- Other information that may be helpful to the CPUC in implementing the estimates

The transmission capability estimates are developed using the current deliverability methodology. FCDS estimates are based on on-peak deliverability assessment methodology<sup>4</sup> while the EODS estimates are based on the off-peak deliverability assessment methodology<sup>5</sup>.

#### 3.1 Sources of transmission capability information

As noted earlier the ISO relies primarily on generation interconnection process (GIP) studies for developing transmission capability estimates. In some cases, the information is supplemented by information obtained from Transmission Planning Process (TPP) studies.

##### 1. Generation interconnection process (GIP) studies

As part of the generation interconnection process, the ISO conducts on-peak and off-peak deliverability assessments of active generation in its interconnection queue. These assessments lead to the identification of on-peak and off-peak area deliverability constraints and network upgrades (ADNU and AOPNU, respectively) that are needed to mitigate the constraints identified.

GIP studies lend themselves particularly well to development of transmission capability estimates because the amount of active generation in ISO's generation interconnection queue far exceeds the total generation resources that are typically selected as part of the resource portfolios transmitted by the CPUC. Thus, GIP studies reveal transmission constraints that would otherwise not be

---

<sup>3</sup> In those cases where buses with commercial interest are not included in any constraint, CPUC may use queue information upto QC 14 to derive default transmission capability limits

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.aiso.com/Documents/On-PeakDeliverabilityAssessmentMethodology.pdf>

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.aiso.com/Documents/Off-PeakDeliverabilityAssessmentMethodology.pdf>

identified in TPP assessments of the CPUC's resource portfolios. For this reason, the ISO relies on GIP studies as the primary source of information for developing transmission capability estimates.

The ISO has heavily leveraged deliverability assessments performed as part of the interconnection queue cluster 14 Phase I studies in producing the current transmission capability estimate. The information obtained from these studies includes the transmission constraints that limit resource development, the locational boundary of resources that contribute to each constraint, the maximum amount of new FCDS and OPDS resources that can be added behind each constraint without and with transmission upgrades along with the scope, cost, and lead time to construct the transmission upgrades.

## 2. Transmission Planning Process (TPP) studies

In each TPP study cycle, the ISO conducts studies that assess whether transmission upgrades or other measures are needed to meet reliability, policy and economic criteria. As part of the policy-driven assessment in the TPP, the ISO assesses the transmission impacts of the base and sensitivity portfolios transmitted by the CPUC. These assessments provide insights into the reliability impact of the portfolios on the transmission system, constraints that would limit portfolio resource deliverability and renewable curtailment observed in production cost simulations. These insights are used as a supplementary source of information in the development of transmission capability estimates.

## 3.2 Elements of transmission capability estimate information

The information contained in the transmission capability estimates is described in more detail below.

### 1. Transmission constraints

The transmission capability estimates are primarily based on the on-peak and off-peak area deliverability constraints that are found in GIP studies to limit the deliverability of resources. The constraints are identified in accordance with the deliverability methodology. The transmission capability estimates associated with identified transmission constraints are referred in this paper as "actual" transmission capability estimates. Some Transmission Constraints include multiple facility overloads.

There are also parts of the system where the amount of resources in the generation interconnection queue was not found to be sufficient to cause on-peak, off-peak or either of the deliverability constraints. In the absence of actual transmission constraints, the amount of resources studied in the corresponding case are provided as "default" transmission capability estimates.

### 2. Affected zones

The affected zone information is intended to provide a general idea as to the location of resources that contribute to and will be limited by the transmission constraint. In order to provide more detailed information regarding the parts of the system affected by each constraint, the points of interconnection (POI) substations that are located inside the boundary of each constraint (5% DFAX

boundary) are identified using substation bus-line diagrams and/or substation lists. The diagrams and lists are provided as Attachment B. As can be seen from the diagrams, the resource zones affected by the transmission constraints can be isolated, nested or overlapping.

3. Condition under which constraint is binding

This information indicates whether the constraint was identified in the on-peak scenario, off-peak scenario, both scenarios or neither scenario. The information determines whether the associated FCDS and EODS transmission capability estimates are actual or default values as explained above.

4. Estimated transmission plan FCDS capability

The transmission plan FCDS capability estimates associated with actual on-peak deliverability constraints represent the transmission plan deliverability (TPD) calculated for the constraint in accordance with the on-peak deliverability methodology. ISO-approved transmission upgrades are modeled in the assessment. The amount of resources studied in the on-peak deliverability case are provided as default limits for each off-peak deliverability constraint that is not found to be binding in the on-peak deliverability assessment and for areas in which no deliverability constraint is identified. The FCDS capability estimates are over and above the baseline resources that were operational on January 1, 2022. Retirements of Diablo Canyon and OTC generating units are accounted for in the estimates assuming the replacement resources are at the same or similar locations.

Estimated existing system FCDS capability is expressed based on the resource-specific output assumptions used in on-peak deliverability assessment rather than based on installed capacity or Net MW to Grid also known as Interconnection Service Capacity (ISC). As a result, the FCDS capability estimates are resource-type neutral and can be translated into any combination of resource types by applying the applicable resource output factors. The resource output assumptions used in the on-peak deliverability methodology reflect the transmission capacity various resource types are assumed to take up during the on-peak deliverability assessment hours.

The resource output factors applied for intermittent resources like solar and wind in the Highest System Need (HSN) and Secondary System Need (SSN) scenarios are a fraction of their installed capacity. For new non-intermittent resources, the resource output applied is 100% of the resource’s ISC. For energy storage resources, the 4-hour discharging capacity is modeled as the resource’s output. The resource output factors currently applied in on-peak deliverability assessments are shown in Table 3.1-1.

Table 3.1-1: Resource output factors used in on-peak deliverability assessment

Resource type	HSN			SSN		
	SDG&E	SCE	PG&E	SDG&E	SCE	PG&E
Solar	3.00%	10.60%	10.00%	40.20%	42.70%	55.60%
Wind	33.70%	55.70%	66.50%	11.20%	20.80%	16.30%
Non-Intermittent resources	NQC or 100%					

Energy storage	100% if duration is $\geq$ 4-hour or 4-hour equivalent if duration is $<$ 4-hour	50% of HSN amount
Hybrid	[The lesser of Net MW to Grid (ISC) or the sum of the study amounts of the individual paired resources]/ISC	
New Mexico Wind	67%	35%
Wyoming/Idaho Wind	67%	35%
Diablo OSW*	100%	37%
Morro Bay OSW*	100%	49%
Humboldt Bay OSW*	100%	53%

\* The ISO is currently evaluating available data to update dispatch assumptions for off-shore wind and will communicate the results to stakeholders once the values are finalized.

#### 5. Estimated incremental FCDS capability due to ADNU

GIP cluster study area reports are the primary source of the information for the estimated incremental FCDS capability. The reports include conceptual Area Delivery Network Upgrades (ADNUs) that are needed to mitigate area deliverability constraints identified in the study along with an estimate of the incremental deliverable capacity provided by each ADNU. The incremental FCDS estimate reflects the incremental amount of additional queued generation behind the constraint that could be made deliverable by the identified ADNU. Incremental FCDS capability is not provided for areas with default existing system FCDS limits where on-peak deliverability constraints are not identified.

Like transmission plan FCDS capability, incremental FCDS capability is expressed based on the resource output assumptions used in on-peak deliverability assessment shown in Table 3.1-1 above.

#### 6. Description of ADNU

A description of the ADNU, which is the basis for the incremental FCDS capability, is included as part of the transmission capability estimate information to enable the CPUC to identify ADNUs that are also identified to increase EODS capability and thereby avoid the possibility of double counting transmission upgrade cost. The information also includes time to construct for each ADNU that can be used to determine when the associated incremental capacity can become available.

#### 7. ADNU cost estimate

The ADNU cost information along with the incremental FCDS capability will allow the CPUC to co-optimize resource and transmission by enabling it to evaluate the trade-off between limiting the amount of FCDS resources to within the transmission plan capability versus selecting resources beyond the transmission plan capability and triggering the additional transmission cost. Costs estimates for network upgrades are provided in 2022 dollar.

#### 8. Estimated transmission plan EODS capability

The EODS constraints and the associated transmission plan EODS capability estimates are determined based on the off-peak deliverability methodology. The off-peak deliverability methodology was developed to ensure some minimal level of protection for renewable generation from otherwise potentially unlimited curtailment. By definition, off-peak deliverability constraints



and the associated transmission capability limits derived using the off-peak deliverability methodology represent the limits on the amount of renewable resources beyond which curtailment would become excessive and potentially trigger transmission upgrades. As such, off-peak deliverability limits are used as the basis for EODS capability estimates.

Actual transmission plan EODS capability estimates are calculated for the off-peak constraints identified in GIP reports using data and results from the study. ISO-approved transmission upgrades are modeled in the assessment. For on-peak deliverability constraints that are not found to be binding in the off-peak deliverability assessment and for areas where no deliverability constraint is identified, the amount of resources studied in the off-peak deliverability assessment are provided as default EODS capability estimates. While an actual EODS capability estimate for a constraint is allowed to be less than the FCDS capability estimate for the constraint, default EODS estimates are adjusted to equal the FCDS capability estimate to prevent default EODS estimates from unduly restricting the amount of FCDS resources that can be selected.

Like FCDS capability estimates, EODS capability estimates are over and above the baseline resources that were operational on January 1, 2022. Energy storage increases EODS capability as it is dispatched in charging mode to address off-peak deliverability constraints. In order to avoid overestimating EODS capability, only existing energy storage resources are used in the assessment of EODS capability.

The existing system EODS capability estimates are expressed based on the resource output assumptions used in off-peak deliverability assessments rather than based on installed capacity or ISC. Table 3.1-2 provides resource output factors currently applied in off-peak deliverability assessments. The solar and wind resource output factors vary depending on whether the resources in the study area are predominantly wind or solar resources.

Table 3.1-2: Resource output factors used in off-peak deliverability assessment

Resource type	Wind Area			Solar Area		
	SDG&E	SCE	PG&E	SDG&E	SCE	PG&E
Solar	68%			79%	77%	79%
Wind	69%	64%	63%	44%		
Hydro	30%					
Off-shore Wind	100%					
New Mexico Wind	67%					
Wyoming/Idaho Wind	67%					
Thermal	0% <sup>6</sup>					
Energy storage	100% in charging mode if duration is ≥ 4-hour or 4-hour equivalent if duration is less than 4-hour <sup>7</sup>					

<sup>6</sup> Thermal resources are initially dispatched at 15% in off-peak deliverability assessments but can be reduced to 0% to mitigate constraints

<sup>7</sup> Energy storage is initially switched off in off-peak deliverability assessments but can be dispatched in charging mode at 100% of its capacity if duration is ≥ 4-hour or 4-hour equivalent capacity if duration is less than 4-hour to mitigate constraints.

#### 9. Estimated incremental EODS capability due to AOPNU

GIP cluster study area reports and study data are the primary source of the information for the estimated incremental EODS capability. The reports include conceptual Area Off-Peak Network Upgrades (AOPNUs) that are needed to mitigate area off-peak deliverability constraints identified in the study, which can be the same as the ADNUs that are identified to mitigate on-peak constraints. The incremental EODS capability estimate reflects the incremental amount of queued generation behind the constraint that can be accommodated by the identified AOPNU. The actual incremental EODS capability may be higher. Incremental EODS capability is not provided for areas with default transmission plan EODS limits where off-peak deliverability constraints are not identified.

Like transmission plan EODS capability, incremental EODS capability is expressed based on the resource output assumptions used in off-peak deliverability assessment as shown in Table 3.1-2.

#### 10. Description of AOPNU

A description of the AOPNU, which is the basis for the incremental EODS capability provided, is included as part of the transmission capability estimate information to enable the CPUC to identify AOPNUs that also increase FCDS capability and thereby avoid the possibility of double counting transmission upgrade cost. The information also includes the estimated time to construct for each AOPNU that can be used to determine when the associated incremental capacity can become available.

#### 11. AOPNU cost estimate

The estimated AOPNU cost provided along with the incremental EODS capability will allow the CPUC to co-optimize resource and transmission by enabling it to evaluate the trade-off between limiting the amount of EODS resources to within the transmission plan capability versus selecting resources beyond the transmission plan capability and triggering the additional transmission cost. Costs estimates are provided in 2022 dollar.

#### 12. Designation as Wind Area or Solar Area

The transmission capability estimate includes the designation of constrained areas as Wind Area or Solar Area in accordance with the off-peak deliverability methodology. The information indicates which wind and solar resource output factors from Table 3.1-2 are applied in the transmission plan and incremental EODS capability estimates. The same factors should be applied to implement the EODS capability estimates in RESOLVE and in the bus bar mapping process.

## 4 Implementation of transmission capability estimates in IRP

This section provides guidance as to how the transmission capability limits provided in this paper may be implemented in RESOLVE, the bus bar mapping process and when making any desired manual adjustments to the resulting resource portfolios.

The CPUC may adjust the implementation approach proposed in this white paper due to practical limitations or other reasons in consultation with the ISO.

## 4.1 Representation of constraints as linear expressions

As explained in the previous sections, all of the transmission capability estimates provided in this white paper are expressed based on the applicable resource-type specific output assumptions used in deliverability assessments as described in Table 3.1-1 and Table 3.1-2 rather than on the basis of installed capacity or ISC. As a result, the transmission capability estimates are resource-type neutral and can be translated into any combination of resource types by applying the respective deliverability study resource output factors. On the other hand, implementing the transmission capability estimates based on installed capacity allows different resource types with the same installed capacity to take up the same transmission headroom during resource optimization, despite resource-type specific deliverability capacity factors being applied in deliverability studies that are used to develop the transmission capability estimates.

In order to align the implementation of transmission capability estimates with the deliverability assessment methodology, the FCDS and EODS transmission capability estimates provided can be implemented using three linear expressions for each constraint. In the linear expressions, the capacities of the various resource types selected by RESOLVE or are mapped behind a constraint are the variables and the applicable resource output factors are the coefficients as described further below.

### 1. Implementation of FCDS capability estimates

In order to ensure FCDS resources selected in IRP portfolios do not exceed on-peak deliverability constraints both in the HSN and SSN scenarios, each FCDS capability estimate can be implemented using the two linear expression shown below.

#### HSN Scenario

*FCDS capability estimate  $\geq$  Sum of the capacity of each resource type selected  
\* respective resource output factor for the HSN  
scenario*

#### SSN Scenario

*FCDS capability estimate  $\geq$  Sum of the capacity of each resource type selected  
\* respective resource output factor for the SSN  
scenario*

Where FCDS capability estimate is the transmission plan FCDS capability estimate or the transmission plan FCDS capability plus the incremental FCDS capability due to ADNU.

## 2. Implementation of EODS capability estimates

Each EODS capability estimate can be implemented using the linear expression below.

*EODS capability estimate  $\geq$  Sum of the capacity of each non-storage resource type selected \* respective resource output factor for the off-peak scenario – Storage capacity selected (or 4-hour equivalent if duration is less than 4-hours)*

Where EODS capability estimate is the transmission plan EODS capability estimate or the transmission plan EODS capability plus the incremental EODS capability due to AOPNU and the resource output factors for wind or solar area consistent with the designation of the constrained area.

Energy storage selected is subtracted from the right hand side of the expression because it increases EODS capability since it is dispatched in charging mode to address off-peak deliverability constraints.

## 4.2 Baseline reconciliation

As noted earlier, the transmission capability estimates are over and above the baseline resources that were operational on January 1, 2022. The CPUC will need to adjust the estimates to account for additional resources that have been added to the baseline since then. The respective resource output factors should be applied when adjusting the FCDS and EODS capability estimates.

As noted earlier, the on-peak transmission plan capability estimates assume Diablo Canyon and the existing Alamosa, Huntington Beach, Redondo Beach and Ormond Beach OTC units are retired. Accordingly, the capability estimates of affected constraints is increased by the NQC of the applicable units assuming the replacement resources are located at the same or similar locations. Depending on the CPUC's retirement assumptions for the units the TPD for the constraints the units contribute to should be reduced by the NQC of the units in the earlier years as needed.

## Attachment A: Transmission Capability Estimates

The Transmission Capability Estimates and associated information can be found on the ISO website at

<http://www.caiso.com/Pages/documentsbygroup.aspx?GroupID=82442AF7-0A68-4BFC-86FD-AAE1B066AE5E>

## Attachment B: Constraint Boundary Definitions

The constraint boundary diagrams (Attachment B1) and substation bus lists for PG&E Area (Attachment B2) can be found on the ISO website at

<http://www.caiso.com/Pages/documentsbygroup.aspx?GroupID=82442AF7-0A68-4BFC-86FD-AAE1B066AE5E>